

[5th March 1925]

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“ If the strength of the school is 120 and if there is a demand for it, certainly the question will be examined further.”

Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB :—“ Are not Government in possession of some information collected by the District Superintendent of Police at the instance of the District Collector ? ”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“ No information is available.”

Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB :—“ Will Government be pleased to at least call for a report from the District Collector or the District Superintendent of Police ? ”

Elementary training schools for Muhammadans in the Presidency.

* 389 Q.—Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB : Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) the number of elementary training schools for Muhammadans in this Presidency and the places where they are located ;

(b) the number of such institutions in this Presidency for the Hindus ;

(c) whether there is any such institution for the Muhammadans of the southern districts similar to those existing in other parts of this Presidency ; and

(d) if not, whether the Government have any intention of opening one for Muhammadans for the southern parts of this Presidency ?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to supplemental statistics Nos. 47 and 48 appended to Volume II of the Report on Public Instruction in the Madras Presidency for the year 1923-24.

(d) The Government have not yet considered the matter.

Schemes for opening new Muhammadan schools.

* 390 Q.—Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB : Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is not part of the duty of the deputy inspectors of Muhammadan ranges to suggest schemes for the opening of new Muhammadan schools within their jurisdiction ; and

(b) if so, whether the Council will be furnished with a list of cases in which such proposals have been sent stating by whom they have been sent and what they are ?

A.—(a) It is open to the deputy inspectors of schools, Muhammadan ranges, to suggest schemes to local bodies for opening new Muhammadan schools.

(b) The Government have no information.

Proposals for opening new Muhammadan elementary schools.

* 391 Q.—Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB : Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state whether the District Educational Officers have sent in their report on the proceedings of the Director of Public

5th March 1925]

Instruction, dated 13th September 1924; if so, how many of them have suggested the opening of Muhammadan elementary schools and how many were actually opened?

A.—Some of the District Educational Officers have submitted their detailed reports. These do not include suggestions for the opening of any new schools for special classes or communities.

The Law College reorganization scheme.

* 392 Q.—MR. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state with reference to the recommendations of the committee regarding the appointment of special lecturers in the reorganization scheme of the Madras Law College—

(a) whether any test has been laid down to show as to who are specialists and who are not;

(b) whether the Advisory Committee for Education was consulted as regards the adoption of the recommendations and as regards the formation of the committee, dated 11th September 1923;

(c) in view of the recommendations of the committee as regards the permission of students of exceptional ability and industry to attend to other avocations in their spare hours whether the committee have considered the desirability of allowing unpassed F.L. students to attend B.L. classes and put in their term of attendance; if so, what the recommendations are; if not, why not;

(d) whether the committee considered any suggestion of abolishing the apprenticeship course and substitute in its place one more year of study in the Law College during which time the professors should put the students in practical touch with processual law; if so, what its recommendations are;

(e) whether the appointment of Assistant Lecturers is to be made only from the Madras Bar or from both the Madras and the Mufassal Bar;

(f) why the committee has fixed the experience of the junior practitioners to be appointed as Assistant Lecturers to below six years' standing at the Bar;

(g) supposing a practitioner of more than six years' standing is willing to be appointed as an Assistant Lecturer, whether his case is not to be considered at all;

(h) whether the rule six years' standing applies also to members of the Mufassal Bar; and

(i) whether the rule of six years' standing applies only to High Court vakils or even first-grade pleaders?

A.—(a) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to paragraph 7 of the report of the Law College Reorganization Committee and to paragraph 4 of G. O. No. 1702, Law (Education), dated the 5th November 1924, placed on the Editors' Table.

(b) No.

(c) & (d) The points were not included in the terms of reference to the Committee.

(e) From both.

(f) No such suggestion was made by the committee.

(g) Such cases will not be considered.

(h) Yes.

(i) The six years' limit applies to all applicants otherwise eligible.